## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:17-CT-3045-D

JOE SMITH,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) ORDER
CYNTHIA THORNTON, et al.,	)
Defendants.	)

On February 7, 2019, Magistrate Judge Numbers issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") [D.E. 26] and recommended granting defendants' motion for summary judgment [D.E. 18] and dismissing Joe Smith's ("Smith") complaint. Smith did not object to the M&R.

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (emphasis, alteration, and quotation omitted); <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Diamond</u>, 416 F.3d at 315 (quotation omitted). The court has reviewed the M&R and the record. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 26].

In sum, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 26] and GRANTS defendants' motion for summary judgment [D.E. 18]. Smith's complaint is DISMISSED, and the clerk shall close the case.

SO ORDERED. This 28 day of February 2019.

JAMES C. DEVER III

United States District Judge